

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and, Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/960 224	10/29/1997	SHINICHI NISHIDA	046916	5658

7590

08/26/2003

SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373202

EXAMINER QI, ZHI QIANG

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/960,224	NISHIDA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mike Qi	2871	12m /
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10.⊠ Other: <u>PTO-413 at paper# 25</u>	~ . /		
	SUITAT	II. KUM TOMINER TOMINER	
·	, and	C 1660 19 100	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasine, since the limitations as claimed in the claims 1,3,5 and 7 are covered by the prior art of record such as the reference Ogawa discloses a concept for the multi-gap liquid crystal cell and the relationship of the driving voltage with respect to the cell thickness wherein the applied driving voltage to each color pixel electrode are increased as the thickness of the liquid crystal layer of each color pixel layer being decreased for achieving maximum brightness of each color, and the reference Utsumi discloses a IPS display device comprising color filters (R,B,G) corresponding to the pixel electrodes, since each pixel electrode is corresponding to each color filters, so that the respective pixel electrodes and the opposing common electrodes would be spaced from each other by distances which are different for the individual color filters so as to suppress the color shift, and the reference Yamahara discloses using the birefringence to compensate for the change of phase difference of normal light and abnormal light caused by viewing angle so as to convert the light into linear polarization in a wide range of viewing angle.